

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/689,817 10/20/2003 Takahis	sa Ikeda 03604/LH 4376			
1023 7500 11/16/2005				
1933 7390 11/10/2003	EXAMINER	EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC	VO, ANH T N	VO, ANH T N		
220 5TH AVE FL 16 NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	:R		
,	2861			

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,817	IKEDA, TAKAHISA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	1 kg
	Anh T.N. Vo	2861	("
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the objected drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	ı-152)

Art Unit: 2861

FINAL REJECTION

The objection of claim 1, the rejection under 35 USC, 112 second paragraph, and the claim rejection over Brooks et al. (US Pat. 6,557,990) and Altendorf (US 6,557990) are withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Nowell, Jr. et al. (US Pat. 6,213,596) in view of Seiji et al (JP01120353A) and Mochizuki et al (US 6,685,296).

Nowell, Jr. et al disclose in Figure an ink supply system (10) comprising:

- an ink jet head (12) that discharges supplied ink from a nozzle;
- a deaeration device (28) that deaerates dissolved gas from the ink supplied to the ink jet head (12);
- an ink reservoir (46) that is provided in an ink channel (36, 42, 50) between the deaeration device (28) and the ink jet head (12); and
- wherein the ink level in the ink reservoir (46) is higher than the ink level in the nozzle of

Application/Control Number: 10/689,817

Art Unit: 2861

the ink cartridge (12) so that the difference between the ink levels would provide a negative pressure for the nozzle due to the gravity force since.

However, Nowell, Jr. et al. do not disclose that the ink reservoir that a preventative member is floated on the surface of ink in the ink reservoir to prevent contact between the ink and air; wherein the preventative member is plural balls or a plate.

Nevertheless, Seiji suggests in Figure 1 a detection float (3) in a polymer material which covers whole liquid surface of the ink (2) and floating on the ink surface of an ink tank (1) to detecting ink level. Since the float is made of polymer material it prevents the contact between the ink and air.

Mochizuki et al suggests in Figures 1-2 an ink tank (701, 805) including a floating plate (703, 704) or a floating ball (804) which are floating on the ink surface for detecting the ink residual, see lines 32-65, column 1.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Brooks et al. in the cartridge of Nowell, Jr. et al for the purpose of maintaining a desired negative pressure level in nozzles to and employing the float suggested by Seiji et al or the floating plate and the floating ball suggested by Mochizuki et al in the cartridge of Nowell, Jr. et al for the purpose of sensing the ink level of the ink tank so that the ink tank would be refilled or the operation of the printing system would be stopped.

Although Mochizuki et al suggests using one floating balls to detect the residual ink; however, a skilled artisan realizes that more floating balls can be used to enhance the detection. Thus, employing more floating balls in the ink tank of Mochizuki et al is considered to be a matter of a design expedient for an engineer depending upon a particular application. Lacking of showing any criticality, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/689,817 Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

employ more floating balls in the ink tank of Nowell, Jr. et al for the purpose of enhancing the detection of residual ink so that the ink cartridge can be replaced.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

The applicant argue that Nowell, Jr. et al does not disclose that the level of surface of the ink in the ink reservoir is different from a level of the nozzle such that negative pressure is applied to the ink in the nozzle. The argument is not persuasive because the ink surface of the ink reservoir (46) is higher than the ink surface of the tank (16), the difference of ink level between the ink surfaces create a negative pressure on the ink in the nozzle that enable ink to draw the ink from the ink tank (46) to the ink tank (16) to the nozzle due to the gravity force.

The applicant argues that the balls (20) of Altendorf is not used to prevent contact between the air and fluid. The argument is persuasive. However, the floating balls is suggested in the Seiji reference as stated above.

CONCLUSION

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,817

Art Unit: 2861

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Anh Vo. whose telephone number is (571) 272-2262. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:00 A.M.to 7:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 273-8300.

ANHT/N. VO PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 9, 2005